

## **AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS**

The listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

### **Listing of Claims**

1. (Currently Amended) A method of authenticating candidate members wishing to participate in an IP multicast via a communication network, where data sent as part of the multicast is to be secured using a key revocation based scheme requiring that each candidate member submit a public key to a group controller in order to become a participating candidate member, the method comprising:

at the group controller, verifying that the public key received from each candidate member wishing to participate is owned by that candidate member and that the public key ~~[[it]]~~ is associated with the IP address of that candidate member by inspecting an interfaceID part of the IP address.

2. (Previously Presented) A method according to claim 1, wherein said key revocation based scheme is a Logical Key Hierarchy based scheme.

3. (Currently Amended) A method according to claim 1 ~~or~~ 2, wherein each candidate member generates an interfaceID part of its ownIPv6 address by taking a cryptographic hash over ~~[[its]]~~ the candidate member's own public key and one or more other parameters, and ~~[[a]]~~ the candidate member sends a joining request to the group controller which contains:

the member's IP address including the generated interface ID;

the candidate member's ~~[[its]]~~ own public key; and

a signature over the entire message generated using the member's private key.

4. (Currently Amended) A method according to claim 3, wherein upon receipt of the message, the group controller:

a) uses the received public key to confirm that the signature is valid, thus proving that the candidate member does indeed own the public-private key pair to which the received public key belongs and

b) applies the same cryptographic hash,  $[[[]]]$  as used by the candidate member,  $[[[]]]$  to the public key and the other parameter (s) and compares the result to the interfaceID part of the member's IP address, thus verifying that the source IP address is owned by the candidate.

5. (Currently Amended) A method according to claim 2 ~~or to claim 3 or 4 when appended to claim 2~~, wherein, after the group controller has received the public key from a given candidate member and has verified that the public key is associated with the IP address of the sender, the group controller sends a unique Key Encryption Key to the member, encrypted with that member's public key, and the group controller also sends a Traffic Encryption Key and a LKH key set to the member, encrypted with the Key Encryption Key.

6. (Currently Amended) A method according to claim 1 ~~any one of the preceding claims~~, wherein said IP multicast comprises:

a one-way multicast where a single node multicasts a stream of data to several other nodes;

a group multicast where group members multicast data to all other members of the group; or

a tele-conference or a videoconference or a multimedia conference.

7. (Currently Amended) A method of authorising a user to participate in a secure IP multicast or broadcast ~~and~~ in which security keys are distributed to group members using a key revocation based mechanism, the method comprising:

delivering a certificate to the user, the certificate verifying that a public private key pair identified in the certificate can be validly used by the user to access said secure multicast/broadcast;

subsequently verifying at a control node that the certificate is owned by the user using a proof-of-possession procedure; and

assuming that verification is obtained, using said public key to send a Key Encryption Key to the user.

8. (Previously Presented) A method according to claim 7, wherein said key revocation based scheme is a Logical Key Hierarchy based scheme.

9. (Previously Presented) A method according to claim 8, wherein said step of verifying at a control node that the certificate is owned by the user, is carried out after the control node receives a request from the user to join said secure multicast or broadcast.

10. (Currently Amended) A method according to claim 7, ~~8, or 9~~, wherein said proof-of-possession procedure involves the control node sending a random number (~~nonce~~) to the user in plain text, and the user sending ~~sends~~ a response to the control node containing a signature generated by applying the private key to the random number, ~~and using~~, wherein the control node is in possession of the user's certificate and can check whether or not the message is correctly signed with the user's private key.

11. (Currently Amended) A method according to claim 7 ~~any one of claims 7 to 10~~, wherein the user to be authorised has a subscription to a first, home communication network and wishes to participate in a multicast or broadcast service via a second, foreign network in which the user is roaming, the method comprising:

the visited network contacting the user's home network, upon receipt of an initial registration request from said user, to authorise the user;

following authorisation by the home network, generating a certificate relating to said service and ~~comprising~~ generating a public-private key pair, either at the user equipment or within one of the networks, and signing the certificate; and

sending the certificate to the user.

12. (Currently Amended) A method according to claim 11, wherein an Authentication and Key Agreement (AKA) AKA procedure is used to authorise the user.

13. (Currently amended) A group controller ~~comprising memory and processing means for implementing the method of any one of the preeding claims for~~ authenticating candidate members wishing to participate in an IP multicast via a communication network, where data sent as part of the multicast is to be secured using a key revocation based scheme requiring that each candidate member submit a public key to a group controller in order to become a participating candidate member, the group controller comprising:

means for verifying that the public key received from each candidate member wishing to participate is owned by that candidate member and that the public key is associated with the IP address of that candidate member by inspecting an interfaceID part of the IP address.

14. (Canceled)

15. (New) A group controller according to claim 13, wherein said key revocation based scheme is a Logical Key Hierarchy based scheme.

16. (New) A group controller according to claim 13, further comprising:  
means for receiving and storing a generated interfaceID part of a candidate member's ownIPv6 address and for receiving a joining request from the candidate member to the group controller which contains:

the member's IP address including the generated interface ID;

the candidate member's own public key; and

a signature over the entire message generated using the member's private key.

17. (New) A group controller according to claim 16, further comprising means for, upon receipt of the message:

using the received public key to confirm that the signature is valid, thus proving that the candidate member does indeed own the public-private key pair to which the received public key belongs; and

applying the same cryptographic hash, used by the candidate member, to the public key and other parameters and compare the result to the interfaceID part of the candidate member's IP address, thus verifying that the source IP address is owned by the candidate member.

18. (New) A group controller according to claim 17, wherein, after the group controller has received the public key from a given candidate member and has verified that the public key is associated with the IP address of the sender, the group controller having:

means for sending a unique Key Encryption Key to the candidate member, encrypted with that candidate member's public key; and

means for sending a Traffic Encryption Key and a LKH key set to the candidate member, encrypted with the Key Encryption Key.

19. (New) A group controller according to claim 13, wherein said IP multicast comprises:

means for a single node multicasting a stream of data to several other nodes;

means for a group multicast where group members multicast data to all other members of the group; or

means for a tele-conference or a videoconference or a multimedia conference.

20. (New) A group controller for authorising a user to participate in a secure IP multicast or broadcast in which security keys are distributed to group members using a key revocation based mechanism, the group controller comprising:

means for delivering a certificate to the user, the certificate verifying that a public private key pair identified in the certificate can be validly used by the user to access said secure multicast/broadcast;

means for subsequently verifying at a control node that the certificate is owned by the user using a proof-of-possession procedure; and

means for assuming that verification is obtained, using said public key to send a Key Encryption Key to the user.

21. (New) A group controller according to claim 20, wherein said key revocation based scheme is a Logical Key Hierarchy based scheme.

22. (New) A group controller according to claim 21, wherein means for verifying at a control node that the certificate is owned by the user, also verifies the certificate after the control node receives a request from the user to join said secure multicast or broadcast.

23. (New) A group controller according to claim 20, wherein the control node further comprises:

means for sending a random number to the user in plain text; and

means for receiving from the user a response containing a signature generated by applying the private key to the random number, wherein the control node is in possession of the user's certificate and can check whether or not the message is correctly signed with the user's private key.

24. (New) A group controller according to claim 20, wherein the user to be authorised has a subscription to a first, home communication network and wishes to participate in a multicast or broadcast service via a second, foreign network in which the user is roaming, the group controller including means for:

receiving the visited network contacting the user's home network, upon receipt of an initial registration request from said user, to authorise the user;

means for generating a certificate relating to said service following authorisation by the home network;

means for generating a public-private key pair and signing the certificate; and

means for sending the certificate to the user.

25. (New) A group controller according to claim 20, wherein an Authentication and Key Agreement (AKA) procedure is used to authorise the user.